



Le Breton, M., Bannan, T. J., Shallcross, D. E., Khan, M. A., Evans, M. J., Lee, J., Lidster, R., Andrews, S., Carpenter, L. J., Schmidt, J., Jacob, D., Harris, N. R. P., Bauguitte, S., Gallagher, M., Bacak, A., Leather, K. E., & Percival, C. J. (2017). Enhanced ozone loss by active inorganic bromine chemistry in the tropical troposphere. *Atmospheric Environment*, 155, 21-28.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.003>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY-NC-ND

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.003)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231017300730?via%3Dihub> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Enhanced ozone loss by active inorganic bromine chemistry in the tropical troposphere

Michael Le Breton^{1a}, Thomas Bannan¹, Dudley Shallcross², Anwar Khan², Matthew Evans³, James Lee³, Richard Lidster³, Stephen Andrews³, Lucy Carpenter³, Johan Schmidt⁴, Daniel Jacob⁴, Neil Harris⁵, Stephane Bauguitte⁶, Martin Gallagher¹, Asan Bacak¹, Kimberley Leather¹, Carl Percival¹

¹*The Centre for Atmospheric Science, School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Simon Building, Brunswick Street, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK*

²*School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK*

³*National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK*

⁴*Harvard University, School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 29 Oxford St, Cambridge, MA02138, USA*

And Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵*Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK*

⁶*Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM), Building 125, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedford, MK43 0AL, UK*

^a *Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, Medicinaregatan 9 C, 40530, Gothenburg, Sweden*

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed. Email
carl.percival@manchester.ac.uk

d.e.shallcross@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract: Bromine chemistry, particularly in the tropics, has been suggested to play an important role in tropospheric ozone loss although a lack of measurements of active bromine

species impedes a quantitative understanding of its impacts. Recent modelling and measurements of bromine monoxide (BrO) by Wang et al. (2015) have shown current models under predict BrO concentrations over the Pacific Ocean and allude to a missing source of BrO. Here, we present the first simultaneous aircraft measurements of atmospheric bromine monoxide, BrO (a radical that along with atomic Br catalytically destroys ozone) and the inorganic Br precursor compounds HOBr, BrCl and Br₂ over the Western Pacific Ocean from 0.5 to 7 km. The presence of 0.17-1.64 pptv BrO and 3.6-8 pptv total inorganic Br from these four species throughout the troposphere causes 10-20% of total ozone loss, and confirms the importance of bromine chemistry in the tropical troposphere; contributing to a 6 ppb decrease in ozone levels due to halogen chemistry. Observations are compared with a global chemical transport model and find that the observed high levels of BrO, BrCl and HOBr can be reconciled by active multiphase oxidation of halide (Br⁻ and Cl⁻) by HOBr and ozone in cloud droplets and aerosols. Measurements indicate that 99% of the instantaneous free Br in the troposphere up to 8 km originates from inorganic halogen photolysis rather than from photolysis of organobromine species.

1. Introduction

Ozone (O₃) is one of the most important short-lived gases contributing to greenhouse radiative forcing (RF) and plays a critical role in atmospheric chemistry and air quality (Crutzen, 1988). Therefore, it is vital to determine the mechanisms that control its regional and global levels. In the troposphere O₃ has two sources: in situ photochemical production from anthropogenic precursors such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides, and transport from the stratosphere (Liu et al., 2002). Subsequently, the temporal and spatial resolution of O₃ is rather complex and our current understanding cannot account for the observed O₃ variation in the tropical troposphere (Prather et al., 2001). The primary loss route of tropospheric ozone is photolysis in the presence of water vapour. However, recent studies have indicated that reactive halogens in the troposphere could make an important contribution to photochemical O₃ depletion (Theys et al., 2011). Especially in tropical regions where higher concentrations of halogenated species (assuming a biological source) are found due to warmer waters, higher biological activity, and fast convective transport into the free troposphere (FT) (Liang et al., 2010; Holmes et al., 2010; Parella et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015). Inorganic bromine radicals (BrO and Br) are known to be efficient catalysts for O₃ destruction. Sources of tropospheric

bromine are poorly constrained (Parella et al., 2012) and include photochemical breakdown of halocarbons (e.g. CHBr_3 and CH_2Br_2), debromination of sea salt aerosol, and input of inorganic bromine (Br_y) from the stratosphere (Read et al., 2008). Model calculations have indicated that bromine chemistry may be responsible for a reduction in the zonal mean O_3 mixing ratio by up to 18% and locally even up to 40% (Holmes et al., 2006) and account for the majority of global oxidation of elemental mercury (Read et al., 2008). Only a few pptv (parts per trillion by volume) of BrO are required to have a significant impact on tropospheric chemistry (Holmes et al., 2006). There is recent observational evidence for the ubiquitous presence of BrO at these levels in the global troposphere, although reported BrO background levels vary widely (Volkamer et al., 2015, Yang et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2015; Mahajan et al., 2010) whilst global models under predict these levels of BrO. Wang et al. (2015) have recently measured and modelled BrO over the tropical Eastern Pacific Ocean and shown concentrations are up to 2-4 times greater than that predicted in the tropical free troposphere. Their modelling results also show a bias at the lower tropical transition layer, indicating possible missing sources supplying activated inorganic bromine, which current models are unable to account for. Inorganic precursors to BrO such as BrCl, Br_2 and HOBr have previously been measured in the Arctic at significant concentrations to account for high BrO concentrations (Liao et al., 2011). To date, there have been no previous simultaneous measurements of these inorganic halogens in the tropics. Model simulations of their concentrations and importance to ozone loss are currently constrained by experimental observations of BrO concentrations.

2. Flight Campaign

The NERC CAST (Co-ordinated Airborne Studies in the Tropics) campaign took place in the West Pacific in January/February 2014. The field campaign had two components: (i) the NERC FAAM BAe-146 research aircraft based in Guam (13.5°N, 144.8°E); and (ii) ground-based and ozone sonde measurements in Manus, Papua New Guinea (2.1°S, 147.4°E). CAST was part of an international collaboration involving the NASA ATTREX (Airborne Tropical Tropopause Experiment) project based around the Global Hawk, the NCAR-led CONTRAST (Convective Transport of Active Species in the Tropics) campaign based around the Gulfstream V (HIAPER) aircraft, and the Japanese SOWER project (Soundings of Ozone and Water in the Equatorial Region). Together, detailed measurements of atmospheric structure and composition were made from the ocean surface to 20 km. An overview of this campaign can

be given by Harris *et al.* [2015], describing the experimental setup, flying conditions and general meteorological and core chemistry results.

In this coordinated campaign, the FAAM BAe-146 made measurements in the lower tropical troposphere below ~8 km altitude with an emphasis on the boundary layer. The flights were made to the south of Guam and occasionally penetrated the southern hemisphere (See Fig. S1 in supplementary). In total, 25 flights were made between January 25th and 18th 2014 with 90 h of measurements. Other composition measurements included H₂O, CO, CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, VSLs (very Short Lived Substances) including many bromocarbons, NO, IO (although never above the limit of detection), black carbon and aerosol. NO_x concentrations averaged at 6 ppt, indicating no influence of pollution outflow.


3. Materials and Methods

A chemical ionisation mass spectrometer (CIMS) was used for real-time detection of BrO, Br₂, HOBr and BrCl. The CIMS instrument employed here was built by the Georgia Institute of Technology and was set up as in previous studies (Le Breton *et al.*, 2012; 2014a, b). The inlet consisted of 9.5 mm outer diameter PFA tubing of length 580 mm, which was heated to 40°C to reduce surface losses. The fast inlet pump (Picolino VTE-3, Gardner Denver Thomas) delivered ambient air to the IMR (Ion Molecule reaction) chamber at a flow rate of 5.8 SLM which was subsampled using an orifice of diameter 0.2 mm positioned at the front of the inlet to restrict the flow into the IMR to 0.8 SLM. The pressure in the ionisation region was maintained at 19 Torr (133.3 Pa) throughout the flight by controlling the flow of nitrogen into the ionisation region using a mass flow meter. The I⁻ ionization scheme was used for the detection of BrO, Br₂, BrCl and HOBr as previously utilised by Liao *et al.* (2011, 2012). To generate I⁻ a flow of 20 SCCM flow of the ionization gas mix (15 Torr CH₃I, 20 Torr H₂O and 47.3 PSI N₂) combined with a 2 SLM flow of N₂ and passed through a ²¹⁰Po Nuclecel ionizer (NRD inc.). The reagent ion was then carried into the ion-molecule region where Br₂ and BrO were detected as an I⁻ adduct producing I.BrO⁻ and I.Br₂⁻. BrCl was detected as the ionized ion BrCl⁻ due to ion transfer. HOBr was detected as an adduct of both I⁻ and H₂O as I.HOBr.H₂O. Contrary to Liao *et al.* (2012) under our conditions it is not observed at mass 223 and 225 (I.HOBr⁻) even with up to 12 ppb of HOBr calibrant and therefore cannot interfere with the BrO signal. A variation of voltage tuning through the collisional dissociation chamber (CDC) and quadrupole can drastically change the clustering abilities of the produced ions and possibly explain this difference. An example in the literature of such variation can be viewed for N₂O₅,

where it has been reported as the adduct $\text{I.N}_2\text{O}_5^-$ (Kercher et al., 2009) and NO_3^- declustered by the CDC (Le Breton et al., 2014a, b).


Calibration and gas preparation

The CIMS was calibrated to attain a sensitivity for BrO, HOBr, Br₂, BrCl and formic acid in the laboratory before and after the campaign. Here, we describe the BrO setup, whereas the calibrations for other compounds can be found in the supplementary material. BrO was produced in a flow tube via a moveable injector and produced from the reaction.



Oxygen atoms were generated using a Beenaker microwave discharge cavity operating at 60W. A 1 SLM flow of He (99.999 %) purified using a molecular sieve trap cooled to 78 K was combined with a 1 SCCM flow of 0.1 % O₂ (>99.9995 %) and passed through quartz tubing within the microwave discharge cavity. A 1-10 SCCM flow of a pre-prepared 0.5 % gas mixture of bromine was added into the side arm of the sliding injector, combined with a 3 SLM flow of He, downstream of the microwave discharge cavity reacting with O atoms to produce BrO preceding entrance to the CIMS, which was identified at mass m224; I.BrO^- .

The BrO signal calibration was achieved by adding NO to the flow tube via the moveable injector at a constant contact time of 20 ms and by monitoring the resultant NO₂, formed by reaction with BrO, using a Thermo Fisher, model 42i NO-NO₂-NO_x Analyser.



NO (Technical grade 98.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) was purified by freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and selective freezing of NO₂ impurities. NO₂ (Technical grade, Air Products 99.5+%, Sigma-Aldrich) was purified by freeze-pump-thaw cycles. He (CP Grade, BOC) was passed through N₂ (l) containing a molecular sieve (5Å 4-8 mesh bead, Sigma-Aldrich) before entering the Beenakker cell. All gas mixtures entering the microwave cavities were made up in electronic grade He (99.9999 %, BOC).


Complete removal of BrO was ensured by adding sufficient NO, confirmed by a constant NO₂ signal with further increases in [NO]. The sensitivity was estimated for BrO to be 50 ion counts ppt⁻¹ s⁻¹. Validation of the BrO production was confirmed using a flow tube chemical ionization mass spectrometer implementing the SF₆⁻ ionization scheme, as reported previously by Shallcross *et al.* [2012].

A time series and mass scan of the BrO signal can be seen in Fig. 1 where the raw 1 Hz data and 30 second averaged data are displayed to show how the limit of detection (0.1 ppt) was achieved. The increased standard deviation during the calibration is as a result of the increased noise from use of the microwave discharge in the production of BrO in the flow tube and not an instrumental factor. This would increase a LOD to 0.36 ppt if these data were used for the calculation, although this would not change any of the analysis as this value is below the 5th percentile. The uncertainty of the BrO data is therefore $\pm 15\%$. The low LOD can be attributed to the tuning of the instrument which has a signal of 0 Hz during background periods. The instrument was tuned to maximise sensitivity and minimize the LOD by optimisation of the most well characterised species the CIMS detects, formic acid. Simultaneous tuning of the formic acid peak area and minimisation of non-formic acid peaks during a formic acid calibration ensured an increase in counts was not due to increased MCP voltage noise or instrumental interference. This ensures minimal signal at the BrO mass is observed during background periods and attributes. To validate this limit of detection for field measurements, dry nitrogen was introduced into the inlet before and after a flight to simulate zero ppt BrO ambient air.


All calibrations were performed relative to in-flight formic acid calibrations and pre-flight ground calibrations, previously described by Le Breton *et al.* (2012). Calibrations of BrO, Br₂, HOBr and BrCl were performed alongside formic acid calibrations in the laboratory, under varying water vapour conditions, to assess relative changes in the instrument's sensitivity. The sensitivity of the instrument were found to have no dependence on relative humidity. This is attributed to a tuning of excess reagent ions and the I.(H₂O)⁻ cluster which ensures IMR water availability and sensitivity is not dependent on atmospheric relative humidity.

Inlet losses

The loss of HOBr onto instrument and inlet walls was quantified using a perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) flow tube inlet system which varied in length from 0.2 m to 2 m. Up to 15% of HOBr was seen to convert to Br₂ on the inlet walls, although this value did not vary with inlet length. Therefore, it was assumed that 15% of the Br₂ signal resulted from HOBr. This was factored into every data point collected during the campaign.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 1. a) BrO time series and mas scan during a calibration and background period. The standard deviations are reported for both 1Hz and 30 second averaged data. b) Timer series of in flight data utilising the nylon scrubber as a background technique for formic acid and the inorganic halogens.

4. Measurement Results

Here, we report the first simultaneous airborne real-time observations of BrO, Br₂, BrCl and HOBr, in tropical marine air with minimal influence from anthropogenic activity. The 1 Hz inorganic halogen data were averaged to 30 seconds in order to facilitate comparison with other in-flight measurements and model outputs. A time series of these data can be seen in Fig. 2, displaying the concentration of BrO, HOBr, BrCl and Br₂ during flight B830 with the time displayed as local time, together with O₃ and altitude. In Fig. 2 Br₂, BrCl and HOBr are all above the limit of detection threshold throughout the flight. O₃ and altitude measurements are

also displayed in the Fig. 2. The measurement uncertainties are 10%, 10%, 10% and 15% respectively. BrO concentrations throughout the campaign ranged from below the limit of detection (0.1 ppt for 30 s averaged data) up to 1.71 ppt, with a mean of 0.69 ppt. These concentrations are within the range of that reported at other equatorial mid-ocean sites such as Cape Verde (Mahajan et al. (2010) and the Canary Islands (Leser et al., 2003). Our results further confirm that BrO is present in the tropical troposphere at globally significant levels. The altitude profile of BrO and O₃ can be seen in Fig. 3A for 4 flights during the campaign. A broad anti-correlation was observed between BrO and O₃ during these flights, even though individual profiles varied from flight to flight. Tracer-tracer analysis of all the flight data over 4 altitude bins (Fig. 3B) is consistent with surface deposition being the dominant route for O₃ loss although at higher altitude bins, a larger anti correlation is observed. Further analysis of 2 altitude bins (0-2 km and 2-8 km), where the BrO is split into two samples; above and below the 50th percentile, shows a near identical level of O₃ below and above the 50th percentile in the 0-2 km bin. The 2-8 km bin shows an 8 ppb reduction in O₃ compared with the low BrO sample (the green bar). This is consistent with the model results, i.e. Br driven ozone loss is small in the MBL (most likely due to the already very short life time of O₃ at the surface), but is significant above the MBL in the Free Troposphere. It must be noted that this correlation was not observed during every profile. The possibility that observed features were of stratospheric origin was dismissed after analysis of N₂O measured by a Quantum Cascade Laser absorption spectrometer (QCL) (Pitt et al., 2015) exhibited no concentrations characteristic of that from a stratospheric source.




Fig. 2. A time series during flight B841 (14/02/14) of BrO (blue), Br₂ (green), HOBr (red) and BrCl (black) 30 second averaged concentrations from the CIMS and O₃ (light blue). The aircraft altitude (black) is also displayed.

5. Global model simulations


A GEOS-Chem (www.geos-chem.org) global chemical transport model is utilised to interpret observed inorganic bromine mixing ratios. The model is driven by GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological data from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office with $1/2^\circ \times 2/3^\circ$ horizontal resolution and 47 vertical layers extending from the surface up to about 80 km. The horizontal resolution is degraded to $4^\circ \times 5^\circ$. The model includes a detailed ozone-NO_x-VOC-aerosol-Br-Cl tropospheric chemistry mechanism as described in great detail by Schmidt et al. (2016) building on the previous bromine mechanism of Parella et al. (2012) by including chlorine chemistry and more extensive halogen multiphase chemistry. Model sources of inorganic bromine include sea salt debromination, oxidation of bromocarbon (CHBr₃, CH₂Br₂, CH₃Br) and input from the stratosphere. In simulating the source of bromine from sea salt aerosol (SSA) we assume that 50% of bromide in newly emitted SSA is activated and released as Br₂. Model CHBr₃ and CH₂Br₂ emissions are based on emission fields of Hossaini et al.

(2013) and modelled bromocarbon mixing ratios are consistent with aircraft observations from an ensemble of field campaigns (Parella et al., 2012). Stratospheric concentrations (monthly and diurnally varying) of Br_y (Br, BrO, HOBr, HBr, BrNO₃ and BrCl) are taken from a Goddard Earth Observing System Chemistry-Climate Model (GEOSCCM) simulation that has been demonstrated to yield stratospheric BrO profiles consistent with balloon observations (Holmes et al., 2006). The halogen and heterogeneous chemistry mechanism yields BrO column densities and mixing ratios broadly consistent with ground based and recent aircraft observations (Mahajan et al., 2010 Volkamer et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015).


Simulated BrO and HOBr (Fig. 4) show fair agreement (over 55% and 75% of the data points agreeing within error respectively) with observations in the MBL and FT. Agreement is only achieved when heterogeneous chemistry is included, as shown in Fig. 4. Bromine driven O₃ loss takes place by cycling between Br, BrO and HOBr. Bromine driven oxidation of NO_x (a key ozone precursor) also reduces the level of ozone in the troposphere. The BrO measurements in the Pacific by Wang et al. (2015) suggested that their consistent high BrO concentrations were due to a missing inorganic source. Here, the measured inorganic halogen concentrations, similar to that by Wang et al. (2015) further support their hypothesis that inorganic halogen concentrations may often be several ppt in the Pacific. The increase in BrO from heterogeneous chemistry results in a further 6 ppb O₃ loss in the model.

The model predicts higher levels of BrCl than Br₂ in direct contrast with observations. The inconsistent pattern in simulated levels of BrCl and Br₂ may be due to multiphase halide exchange reactions ($\text{BrCl} + \text{Br}^- \rightarrow \text{Br}_2 + \text{Cl}^-$) that are not accounted for in the simulations, which has previously been reported to initiate O₃ depletion reactions (Wachsmith et al., 2002). The model results are unable to account for the observed high levels of Br₂ throughout the campaign, seen in Fig. 4 where the measured sum of BrCl and Br₂ is on average 0.5 ppt higher than the model. It must be stated that this value represents absolute reported concentrations and does not account for experimental uncertainty. Extensive laboratory studies have assessed a possible inlet loss of HOBr to form Br₂ (Liao et al., 2012; Neuman et al., 2010). Our results indicate that a maximum of 15% of HOBr is lost on the walls, which could not account for the discrepancy between model and measurement. O₃ may play in halogen activation on the inlet, although no sign of this interference was found; i.e. no correlation between observed Br₂ and O₃ is observed (see Fig. S4 in the supporting material). Furthermore, there remains the

possibility that our inlet walls could become coated in sea salt and thus increase the Br_2 production along the inlet which would be difficult to take into account. However, Huey and co-workers (see e.g. Neuman et al., 2010) experimentally determined the efficiency of inlet conversion of HOBr on the inlet on various PFA in comparison with a pure salt substrate and showed that there is a factor of 5 increase in Br_2 production. For the data presented in the manuscript there would have to be a factor of 20 increase in wall loss efficiency to explain our observed Br_2 , thus we are confident that wall loss can not explain the observed Br_2 . Liao et al. (2012) found that NaBr deliquescence in the presence of OH and the photolysis of O_3 could produce significant levels of molecular bromine. This source of bromine is not represented in the model and could therefore account for its underestimation compared to the measurements.



A



B

Fig. 3 Figure A shows altitude profile box plots of BrO and O₃ for 4 flights. The median, mean, 25th, 75th, 5th and 95th quartile ranges are indicated. Graph B shows a correlation plot of ozone and BrO split into 4 altitude bins (red > 6 km, green 4 -6 km, blue 2 – 4 km, black 0 – 2 km) and also a bar chart of binned BrO data by altitude split into BrO concentrations above the 50th percentile (red) and below the 50th percentile (green).




Fig. 4. Altitude profile box plot of the measured (CIMS) and modelled (GEOS-Chem) concentration of BrO (upper left), HOBr (upper right), BrCl (lower left, dashed), Br₂ (lower left, full), and ozone (lower right) throughout the campaign for 16 flights. Black lines represent the measurements. The red line is output from our GEOS-Chem simulation. The green curve is model output from a simulation with multiphase halogen chemistry (no oxidation of Br⁻ and Cl⁻ and no halogen release from SSA). The cyan curve shows simulated ozone when halogen chemistry is not included. The horizontal bars on the observed data lines correspond to the full range of the data set.

6. Contribution of inorganic bromine to ozone loss

CAST aircraft measurements of organobromine compounds taken by Whole Air Samples (WAS) (Lidster et al., 2014) and measured by GC-MS (Hopkins et al., 2011) coupled with

modelled photooxidation rates show that their contribution to the Br loading is minimal. Our results indicate that at least 99% of Br radicals originates from inorganic halogen species, in contrast to other model studies (Liu et al., 2002). Non-halogen related O₃ loss, from photolysis and reactions with OH and HO₂ radicals (Yang et al., 2005) was estimated using a steady state approximation implementing all other inorganic halogen measurements as described in the SOM. The average HO₂ concentration calculated in the steady state approximation in the upper free troposphere was 6 ppt, consistent with Jaegle et al. (1997) and Tin et al. (2001). The results from this analysis imply that Br from inorganic halogen photolysis can account for up to 20% of the O₃ loss in the FT and MBL as shown in Fig. 5. The figure also suggests that HO₂ can account for up to 80% loss of O₃ in the upper troposphere. There remains the possibility that we have overestimated the impact of Br₂ photolysis as a result of inlet conversion of HOBr. However, even if we assume that all the Br₂ that we observe is resultant from HOBr, that would not alter the conclusions of this work. Inorganic Bromine would still be the major source (99%) of Br atoms in the MBL, the source being either from Br₂ or HOBr.




Fig. 5. The graph represents the contribution to O₃ loss in the FT and MBL from reaction with HO₂ (yellow), O¹D (blue), Br from inorganic halogens measured by the CIMS

(purple) and OH (red). These values have been calculated from the steady state approximation explained in the SOM.

7. Conclusions

The recent work by Wang et al. (2015) showing the capacity for inorganic halogen chemistry to destroy ozone in the Pacific region and suggestion that such high concentrations of BrO may result from high concentrations of inorganic halogens in the region have been further supported by the work presented here. The first simultaneous airborne measurements of BrO, Br₂, HOBr and BrCl suggest inorganic halogen photochemistry is the overwhelmingly dominant source of Br in the FT and MBL in comparison with that of organobromine compounds. Analysis of data from this work reveals that Br radicals generated from inorganic halogen photolysis can account for up to 20% of O₃ in the FT and to a lesser extent in the MBL as shown in Fig. 5. Previous model studies suggested that there could be up to 20% less ozone in the tropics as a result of bromine chemistry (Von Glasow et al., 2004; Long et al., 2014) and this study has provided the direct evidence of the inorganic sources of bromine. This study also builds on the advancements in this field by Wang et al. (2015) and further supports the hypothesis that a missing source of BrO production may propagate through the debromination of sea salt.

Acknowledgments

The experiments were conceived by NH, LJC, MJE, JDL, CJP and DES; designed by CJP, DES, MLB and AB, and carried out by CJP, DES, MLB, AB, KEL and TB and funded by NERC grant NE/ I030054/1. Model studies were carried out by JAS, MAHK, DES, ME and DJJ. SJA, RTL and LJC contributed organohalogen measurements. All authors participated in the data analysis and interpretation and contributed to the manuscript. JAS acknowledges support from The Danish Council for Independent Research j Natural Sciences and the NASA Atmospheric Composition Modelling and Analysis Program. CAST data is available via NERC BADC (<http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/565b6bb5a0535b438ad2fae4c852e1b3>) and the use of data produced in the context of the CAST project is governed by the protocols outlined at <https://badc.nerc.ac.uk/conditions/cast.html>.

References

Crutzen, P (1988), Tropospheric ozone: an overview. In: Isaksen, I.S.A. (Ed.), Tropospheric Ozone: Regional and Global Scale Interactions, Reidel Publishing, Boston, MA, 3 – 11.

Harris, N., L. J. carpenter, J. D. Lee, G. Vaughan, M. T Filus, R. L. Jones, B. OuYang, J. A. Pyle, A. D. Robinson, S. Andrews, A. C. Lewis, J. Minaeian, A. Vaughan, J. Dorsey, M. W. Gallagher, M. Le Breton, R. Newton, C. J. Percival, H. Ricketts, S. J-B. Bauguitte, G. Nott, A. Wellpott, M. Ashfold, J. Flemming, R. Butler, P. Palmer, C. Stopford, C. Chemel, P. Kaye, H. Boesch, N. Humpage, A. Vick, A. R. Mackenzie, R. Hyde, P. Angelov, A. Manning, and E. Meneguz (2015), Co-ordinated Airborne Studies in the Tropics (CAST), Submitted to BAMS.

Holmes, C., D. Jacob, and X. Yang (2006), Global lifetime of elemental mercury against oxidation by atomic bromine in the free troposphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L20808, doi:10.1029/2006GL027176.

Holmes, C., D. J. Jacob, , E. S. Corbitt, J. Mao, X. Yang, R. Talbot, and F. Slemr (2010), Global atmospheric model for mercury including oxidation by bromine atoms, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 12037–12057, 2010www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/12037/2010/doi:10.5194/acp-10-12037-2010.

Hopkins, J. R., C. E. Jones., and A. C. Lewis (2011), A dual channel gas chromatograph for atmospheric analysis of volatile organic compounds including oxygenated and monoterpene compounds *J. Environ. Monit.*, doi:10.1039/c1em10050e

Le Breton, M., M. R. McGillen, J. B. A. Muller, D. E. Shallcross, A. Bacak, P. Xiao, L. G. Huey, D. Tanner, H. Coe, and C. J. Percival (2012), Airborne observations of formic acid using a chemical ionisation mass spectrometer, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4 (2012), pp. 5807–5835.

Le Breton, M., M. R. McGillen, J. B. A. Muller, D. E. Shallcross, R. Batt, M. C. Cooke, S. J-B. Bauguitte, and C. J. Percival (2014b), Simultaneous airborne nitric acid and formic acid measurements using a chemical ionization mass spectrometer around the UK: Analysis of primary and secondary production pathways, *Atmos. Environ.*, 83, 166–175, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.10.008.

Liang, Q., R. S. Stolarski, S. R. Kawa, J. E. Nielsen, A. R. Douglass, J. M. Rodriguez, D. R. Blake, E. L. Atlas, and L. E. Ott (2010), Finding the missing stratospheric Br₂: a global modeling study of CHBr₃ and CH₂Br₂, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 2269–2286.

Lidster, R. T., J. F. Hamilton, J. D. Lee, A. C. Lewis, J. R. Hopkins, S. Punjabi, A. R. Rickard, J. C. Young, The impact of monoaromatic hydrocarbons on OH reactivity in the coastal UK boundary layer and free troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 6677–6693, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6677-2014, 2014.

Liao, J., H. Sihler, L.G. Huey, J. A. Neuman, D. J. Tanner, U. Friess, U. Platt, F. M. Flocke, J. J. Orlando, P. B. Shepson, H. J. Beine, A. J. Weinheimer, S. J. Sjostedt, J. B. Nowak, D. J. Knapp, R. M. Staebler, W. Zheng, R. Sander, S. R. Hall, and K. Ullman (2011), A comparison of Arctic BrO measurements by chemical ionization mass spectrometry and long path-differential optical absorption spectroscopy, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D14, 10.1029/2010JD014788.

Liao, J., L. G. Huey, E. Scheuer, J. E. Dibbi, R. R. Stickel, D. J. Tanner, J. A. Neuman, J. B. Nowak, S. Choi, Y. Wang, R. J. Salawitch, T. Canty, K. Chance, T. Jurosu, R. Suleiman, A. J. Weinheimer, R. R. Shetter, A. Fried, W. Brune, B. Anderson, X. Zhang, G. Chen, J. Crawford, A. Hecobea, and E. D. Ingall (2012), Characterization of soluble bromide measurements and a case study of BrO observations during ARCTAS, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 1327–1338.

Liu, H., D. J. Jacob, L. Y. Chan, S. J. Oltmans, I. Bey, R. M. Yantosca, J. M. Harris, B. N. Duncan, and R. V. Martin (2002), Sources of tropospheric ozone along the Asian Pacific Rim: An analysis of ozonesonde observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, doi:10.1029/2001JD002005.

Long, M. S., W. C. Keene, R. C. Easter, R. Sander, X. Liu, A. Kerkweg, and D. Erickson (2014), Sensitivity of tropospheric chemical composition to halogen-radical chemistry using a fully coupled size-resolved multiphase chemistry–global climate system: halogen distributions, aerosol composition, and sensitivity of climate-relevant gases, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 3397–3425, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3397-2014.

Mahajan, A. S., J. M. C. Plane, H. Oetjen, L. Mendes, R. W. Saunders, A. Saiz-Lopez, C. E. Jones, L. J. Carpenter, and G. B. McFiggans (2010), Measurement and modelling of

tropospheric reactive halogen species over the tropical pacific Atlantic Ocean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4611-4624, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4611-2010.

Parella, J. P., D. J. Jacob, Q. Liang, Y. Zhag, L. J. Mickely, B. Miller, M. J. Evans, X. Yang, J. A. Pyle, N. Theys, amd M. Van Roozendaal (2012), Tropospheric bromine chemistry: implications for present and pre-industrial ozone and mercury, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 6723–6740, doi:10.5194/acp-12-6723-2012.

Prather, M., D. Ehhalt, F. Dentener, R. Derwent, E. Dlugokencky, E. Holland, I. Isaksen, J. Katima, V. Kitchhoff, P. Matson, P. Midgley, and Wang, M. 2001. Atmospheric Chemistry and Greenhouse Gases. In: *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Pitt, J. R., M. Le Breton, G. Allen, C. J. Percival, M. W. Gallagher, S. J-B. Bauguitte, S. J. O'Shea, J. B. Muller, M. S. Zahniser, J. Pyle, and P. I. Palmer (2015), The development and evaluation of airborne in situ N₂O and CH₄ sampling using a Quantum Cascade Laser Absorption Spectrometer (QCLAS), *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, 8, 8859-8902, doi:10.5194/amtd-8-8859-2015.

Read, K. A., A. S. Mahajan, L. J. Carpenter, M. J. Evans, B. V. E. Faria, D. E. Heard, J. R. Jopkins, J. D. Lee, S. J. Moller, A. C. Lewis, L. Mendes, J. B. McQuaid, H. Oetjen, A. Saiz-Lopez, M. J. Pilling, and J. M. C. Plane (2008), Extensive halogen-mediated ozone destruction over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, *Nature* 453, 1232-1235 (26 June 2008) doi:10.1038/nature07035.

Saiz-Lopez, A., J-F. Lamarque, D. E. Kinnison, S. Tilmes, C. Ordóñez, J. J. Orlando, A. J. Conley, J. M. C. Plane, A. S. Mahajan, G. Sousa Santos, E. L. Atlas, D. R. Blake, S. P. Sander, S. Schauffler, A. M. Thompson, and G. Brasseur (2012), Estimating the climate significance of halogen-driven ozone loss in the tropical marine troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 3939-3949, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3939-2012.

Schmidt, J. A., D. J. Jacob, H. M. Horowitz, L. Hu, T. Sherwen, M. J. Evans, Q. lang, R. M. Suleiman, D. E. Oram, M. le Breton, C. J. Percival, S. Wang, B. Dixon, and R. Volkamer (2015), submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*

Shallcross, D. E., K. E. Leather, A. Bacak, p. Xiao, E. P. F. Lee, M. Ng, D. K. W. Mok, J. M. Dyke, R. Hossaini, M. P. Chipperfield, M. A. H. Khan, and C. J. Percival (2015), Reaction between CH₃O₂ and BrO Radicals: A New Source of Upper Troposphere Lower Stratosphere Hydroxyl Radicals, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2015, *119* (19), pp 4618–4632, DOI: 10.1021/jp5108203.

Theys, N., M. Van Roozendael, F. Hendrick, X. Yang, I. De Smedt, A. Richter, M. Begoin, Q. Errera, P. V. Johnston, K. Kreher, and M. De Mazière, (2011), Global observations of tropospheric BrO columns using GOME-2 satellite data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1791–1811, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1791-2011.

Volkamer, R., S. Baidar, T. L. Campos, S. Coburn, J. P. DiGangi, B. Dix, E. W. Eloranta, T. K. Koenig, B. Morley, I. Ortega, B. R. Pierce, M. Reeves, R. Sinreich, S. Wang, M. A. Zondlo, and P. A. Romashkin (2015), Aircraft measurements of BrO, IO, glyoxal, NO₂, H₂O, O₂–O₂ and aerosol extinction profiles in the tropics: comparison with aircraft-/ship-based in situ and lidar measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 2121–2148, doi:10.5194/amt-8-2121-2015.

Von Glasow, R., R. von Kuhlman, M. G. Lawrence, U. Platt, and P. J. Crutzen (2004), Impact of reactive bromine chemistry in the troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 2481–2497, : 1680–7324/acp/2004-4-2481.

Wachusmith, M., H. W. Gaggler, R. von Glasow, and M. Ammann (2002), Accommodation coefficient of HOBr on deliquescent sodium bromide aerosol particles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2, 121–131.

Yang, X., R. A. Cox, N. J. Warwick, J. A. Pyle, G. D. Carver, F. M. O'Connor, and N. H. Savage (2005), Tropospheric bromine chemistry and its impacts on ozone: A model study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D23,311, doi:10.1029/2005JD006244.